DORSAL BUCCAL MUCOSAL GRAFT URETHROPLASTY OUTCOMES IN FEMALE URETHRAL STRICTURES.
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ABSTRACT
BACKGROUND: Female urethral strictures are infrequent, which explains why the literature on female urethral stricture therapies is scarce. We present the results and complications of dorsal buccal mucosa graft urethroplasty in female patients with urethral stricture.
METHODS: Between January 2017 and December 2019, 25 women aged 33 to 65 with urethral stricture disease underwent urethral restoration with a dorsal onlay buccal mucosa transplant. Uroflowmetry (Qmax) and micturating cystourethrography were used to diagnose patients. To rule out bladder pathology, a urodynamic examination was performed. Following catheter removal, UFR was performed every three months for one year, then every six months. RESULTS: The average age of the patients was 52.48 years. A stricture's average length was 1.9 cm. Before and after surgery, the mean Q max was 6.7 ml/s and 19.6 ml/s, respectively. The majority of UFR patients following catheter removal had a Q max of more than 15ml/hr. After three months of catheter removal, three patients complained of inadequate flow, which was dealt with with urethral dilation twice a week, and their strictures were resolved after six months.
CONCLUSION: The dorsal technique with buccal mucosa transfer allowed us to successfully restore an appropriate urethra in females.
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Introduction:

Female urethral strictures are infrequent, which explains why there is little literature on stricture management in women. Despite the lack of demonstrated effectiveness, urethral dilatation is nevertheless done often in women. In females, repeated urethral dilatation and internal urethrotomy cause fibrosis owing to haemorrhage...
Infection, repetitive instrumentation, trauma, radiation for pelvic cancer, and idiopathic are the most prevalent causes of female urethral stricture.

Female urethral stricture has no precise diagnostic criteria due to its uncommon occurrence. According to Defreitas et al., females with a detrusor pressure (Pdet) of 25 cm of H2O and a maximal urine flow rate (Qmax) of less than 12 ml/s have obstruction.

We discuss our single-center experience using Buccal mucosal graft for urethroplasty with only a dorsal onlay approach in female urethral stricture repair.

**Methods:**

A prospective study was conducted on 25 female patients who had dorsal onlay BMG urethroplasty for urethral stricture. The research was done from January 2017 to December 2019. Cases were taken into the study between the ages of 33 to 65 years with urethral stricture. All patients complained of the poor preoperative flow of urine. At the clinical evaluation, the urethral meatus may appear stenotic or normal. A maximal urine flow rate of less than 10 ml/s and a micturating cystourethrogram findings of a narrowed urethra with proximal dilatation are diagnostic criteria (Figure-1).

The urodynamic assessment revealed a stable bladder with low flow and low detrusor pressure with Qmax less than 10 ml per second and detrusor pressure with Qmax greater than 20 cm H2O. Length of stricture was assessed by micturating cystourethrogram. A number of urethral
dilatation procedures had already been performed on 19 of 25 patients (Figure-2). In 18 patients, the cause of the stricture was idiopathic; in five, there had been prior recurrent instrumentation; and in two, there had been a traumatic urethral injury (Figure-3).

**Operative Technique:**

The procedure involves two parts: Harvesting the buccal graft and the urethral reconstruction. The buccal graft is harvested similar to the manner described by Morey and Mc Anich⁴. BMG is harvested from the inner cheek. A reversed U-shaped incision above the meatus from 3 o'clock to 9 o'clock exposes the dorsal part of the urethra (Figure-2).

![Fig. 4: Reversed U-shape incision (3’o clock to 9’o clock) over the meatus](image)

The urethra is isolated from the vulvar mucosa. To liberate the whole length of the urethra, a plane is formed between the urethra and the overlying clitoral cavernous tissue. Careful dissection of the
urethra was performed. The stricture was incised at 12 o'clock position until 1 cm distal to the bladder neck, and the buccal graft was sutured dorsally (Figure-3)

![Operative picture showing dorsal buccal mucosa graft placement.](image1)

**Fig. 3: Operative picture showing dorsal buccal mucosa graft placement.**

with 4-0 vicryl interrupted sutures. After that, a BMG graft is used to stitch the urethra's right and left margins, and a 4-0 vicryl suture is used to stitch the urethra back into normal position (Figure 4).

![Final post operative appearance after suturing, urethra back to its normal position](image2)

**Fig 4: Final post operative appearance after suturing, urethra back to its normal position**
To cover the new urethral roof, the expanded dorsal urethra is quilted to the clitoris body. Adequate bladder drainage with a catheter, antibiotic prophylaxis and watertight, non-overlapping and tension-free closure of the urethra was essential.

Follow up protocol: All patients were discharged with Foley’s catheter and were reviewed after 3 weeks. Uroflowmetry was done after catheter removal. Patients were followed up on every three months for the first year, then every six months.

Results:
All 25 patients had strictures at the mid and distal urethra. The patients' average age was 52.48 years (33-65 years). The average stricture length measured 1.9 cm (1.2 cm-2.5 cm). Mean Q max was 6.7 ml/s preoperatively and 19.6 ml/s postoperatively (Table-I).

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Age in years</th>
<th>Stricture Length in cms</th>
<th>UFR ( ml/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>52.4800</td>
<td>1.9040</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>53.0000</td>
<td>2.0000</td>
<td>6.6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Deviation</td>
<td>8.77553</td>
<td>.40976</td>
<td>1.28722</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No patients experienced either discoloration or other signs of graft necrosis. Patients were instructed to resume their regular everyday activities after 4 to 5 days. They had not experienced any major post-operative pain or discharge that may have indicated an infection.

Initially, 3 weeks after surgery, UFR indicated an increase in Qmax. A postoperative Qmax higher than 15 ml/sec was considered successful repair.

In our study, uroflowmetry was done every 3 months for one year and then every 6 months. At a one-year follow-up, 22 patients had Qmax >15 ml/sec with no voiding or storage lower urinary tract symptoms.

tract symptoms, with the exception of three patients who had limited flow (Qmax = 8 ml/sec) and a sense of incomplete voiding (Table II).

Table II: Comparison of mean UFR before and after urethroplasty by paired t test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Paired difference</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR3 mths</td>
<td>20.0000</td>
<td>13.28800</td>
<td>2.44068</td>
<td>27.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR (ml/sec)</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR 6 mths</td>
<td>19.6000</td>
<td>12.88800</td>
<td>2.34455</td>
<td>27.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR (ml/sec)</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR 9 mths</td>
<td>19.5200</td>
<td>12.80800</td>
<td>2.43001</td>
<td>26.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR (ml/sec)</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR 12 mths</td>
<td>18.3600</td>
<td>11.64800</td>
<td>4.50898</td>
<td>12.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR (ml/sec)</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR 18 mths</td>
<td>19.3600</td>
<td>12.64800</td>
<td>2.43877</td>
<td>25.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR (ml/sec)</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td>6.7120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR 24 mths</td>
<td>18.8800</td>
<td>12.16800</td>
<td>2.51772</td>
<td>24.165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all three patients, a cystoscopy revealed stricture immediately proximal to the graft region, which was dilated. Patients were kept on self-calibration with 14fr nelatons catheter on a twice-weekly schedule for six months. Later they were followed up regularly for 1 year and their Qmax was more than 15ml/sec suggestive of stabilization of the recurrent narrowing of the urethra proximal to the graft site. Comparing the results of our Dorsal BMG urethroplasty with other studies is mentioned in Table III.
Table III: Comparing the result of Dorsal BMG urethroplasty with other studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Name</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Stricture length</th>
<th>Mean follow-up(months)</th>
<th>Success rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Önol FF et al 2011</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>30 months</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migliari R 2006</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampson 2019</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2cm</td>
<td>32 months</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kowalik et al. 2014</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goel et al 2014</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>15 months</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blaivas et al. 2012</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>25 months</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current study</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.5cm</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion:

Female urethral stricture is a disorder that is underdiagnosed. In the past, internal urethrotomies and recurrent urethral dilatations were used as treatments.

Dorsal onlay graft urethroplasty offers solid mechanical support, which allows it to fold on itself, decreasing the chance of neovascularization and the calibre of the reconstructed urethra. Moreover, sacculation at the graft site, which might further compromise the state of the adjacent urethra, facilitating recurrent stricture disease, is avoided.

The dorsal method for BMG grafts provides the advantages of strong mechanical support and a circulatory bed given by clitoral Cavernosal tissue, reducing the likelihood of diverticula formation. The procedure is actually a physiological repair that diverts the urine away from the vagina and preserves the ventral urethra for future anti-incontinence procedures.

Berglund et al. published a case series of 2 patients who had ventral buccal graft urethroplasty. The urethra was made visible by a midline anterior vaginal incision. The graft was sutured into place after the stricture was incised along its ventral side. One patient experienced a relapse of symptoms after surgery. She had meatal stenosis distally to the graft repair, which required dilatation.8 While in our study, there was no incidence of meatal stenosis in any case.

Its success is comparable to genital skin grafts or flaps in terms of cure rate but has the advantage of avoiding cosmetic damage to local genital skin9. The morbidity from buccal mucosa harvesting is surprisingly minimal, and the scar is well concealed. Buccal mucosa has a thick epithelium with a high elastin fibre content, making it resilient and a thin and highly vascular lamina propria that facilitates inosculation.9 In addition, it contracts minimally and is relatively resistant to infection.

Theoretically, an incision through the dorsal aspect of the corpus spongiosum should be associated with minor bleeding since this portion of the urethra is the thinnest and least vascular.10 The dorsal approach to the urethra is causing concern because of a probable injury of the neurovascular bundles to the clitoris. The massive clitoral neurovascular bundles ascend through the ischiopubic ramus to the midline undersurface of the pubic symphysis, where they continue along the clitoral body's cephalad side to the glans. As a result, they are located somewhat distant from the dissection region.5 The advantage of this urethroplasty is that it leaves the ventral section of the mid urethra intact, allowing for a future anti-incontinence treatment on the mid urethra if necessary.

Conclusion:

A simple and effective method to prevent recurrent painful dilatations in females with mid- and distal urethral stricture is dorsal
onlay buccal graft urethroplasty. To validate the efficacy of this technique, additional research with even more patients and extended follow-up is required.
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