Basrah Journal Of Surgery

Original Article

Bas J Surg, June, 23, 2016

MODIFIED SHOELACE REPAIR OF LARGE ABDOMINAL INCISIONAL HERNIAS

Ali Abdul-Aziz Al-Shawi

MB,ChB, FICMS, CABS, Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, Chancellor Missan University, Missan, IRAQ.

Abstract

This study aimed to evaluate modified shoelace darn repair. An abdominal incisional hernia represent defect in the musculo-fascial layers of the abdominal wall. It considers one of the commonest hernia in middle aged women. surgical site wound infection is the most common causative factor and there are different method of surgical repair.

This prospective study was conducted on 127 patients of incisional hernia who were managed by modified shoelace darn procedure, they had reinforce the repair by onlay mesh to bridge the defect in anterior rectus sheath.

The highest proportion of patients aged 41-50 years (37% with a mean of 43.6 years). Regarding distribution of gender, the pattern was similar in both sexes. Most of the patients were overweight (76.4%). Further 14.9% were obese and only 7.9% were normal, 29.9% developed some kind of complication. The recurrence rate was 1.6%.

In conclusion, modified shoelace darn repair is simple, safe, extra-peritoneal with less tissue damage and it seems a good surgical technique for management of large ventral incisional hernia.

Introduction

An abdominal incisional hernia represent defect in the musculo-fascial layers of the abdominal wall through which intraabdominal or preperitoneal content can protrude¹⁻³. Hernias have been reported in 10-20% of open abdominal surgery and 1-5 per cent of laproscopic port-site incisions²⁻⁸. The incidence depends on a number of risk factors, some of these are patient related like old age, obesity, immune-compromise, malnutrition. chronic bronchitis, diabetes mellitus and asthma. Other factors are related to surgical technique and post operative complications like wound infection which is by far the most common cause of incisional hernia^{4,9-12}. There are many techniques for surgical repair of incisional hernias like primary repair, with onlay primary repair mesh reinforcement, onlay mesh, inlay mesh placement, retro-rectus and

intraperitoneal mesh placement. Shoelace darn repair was first described in 1988^{13,14}, based on creation new linea alba and restore the functional anatomy of the anterior rectus sheath by two suture lines. This study aims to evaluate modified shoelace darn repair by using onlay mesh to bridge the gap between the lateral strips of the anterior rectus sheath instead of shoelace like polypropylene darning suture.

Patients and Methods

A prospective study was conducted on 127 patients of incisional hernia who were admitted and managed in Missan Governmental Teaching Hospitals over a period between September 2012 to January 2015. After detailed history and examination, the following data were obtained for each patient: age, sex, body weight, body height, type of previous surgery, complications and recurrence of hernia. All patients with strangulated, obstructed, associated with severe comorbid conditions, pregnant women and hernia in transverse incisions were excluded from the study. Patients who did not complied adequately with the follow up were also excluded from the statistical analysis.

An elliptical incision was done in the skin along the axis of scar and hernia ring, extended down to free the hernia sac and anterior rectus sheath 3-4 cm around the hernial neck. An incision is made 2-3 cm away from medial edge of anterior rectus sheath, extended up and down beyond the upper and lower limit of the previous wound. Any defects created in the sac were closed by absorbable suture. The sac and its content returned back to the abdomen. The medial strips of the incision were elevated off the muscle rolled medially and sutured together in the midline by continuous non-absorbable monofilament nylon No.1, creating new

linea alba. Polypropylene mesh was used to fill the gap between the two lateral edges (on the rectus muscles). The mesh was fixed to the lateral cut edges and newly created linea alba, restoring the rectus muscle in their normal position. After securing hemostasis, wound was closed with two vacuum drains. Patients were followed up at one week, three months, six months, one year and two years intervals. Complications were postoperative for seroma, assessed hematoma, infection and recurrence. Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS version 16, the level of P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Regarding age and gender, table I shows that highest proportion of patients was in the age group 41-50 years (37.0%) and the pattern was similar in both sexes. The mean age was 43.6 years. Male patients represent 55.9% and female patients represent 44.1%.

Age in years	Male		Female		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
20-30	9	7.0	6	4.7	15	11.7
30-40	13	10.2	16	12.6	29	22.8
40-50	18	14.2	29	22.8	47	37.0
50-60	14	11.0	17	13.4	31	24.4
60-70	2	1.6	3	2.4	5	3.93
Total	56	44.1	71	55.9	127	100.0

Table I : Age & Gender distribution

Regarding body mass index, most of the patients were overweight (76.4%) in nutritional status as indicated by their BMI (Table II). Further 14.9% were obese and only 7.9% were normal.

by mass much of the studied patients				
Category	BMI range kg/m2	No.	%	
Undernutrition	<18.5	1	0.8	
Normal	18.5-25	10	7.9%	
Overweight	25-30	97	76.4%	
Obese class I	30-35	14	11.0 %	
Obese class II and III	35-40	5	3.9%	
Total	27.9	127	100.0	

Table II: Body Mass Index of the studied patients

Regarding previous incisions: To give an idea about the types of previous surgical incisions which were later associated with hernia, data are presented in table III. The most frequent cases were those of exploratory laparatomy (22.8%) followed by trauma (16.5%), ovarian and uterine surgery (13.4%) and perforated appendix (11.0%)

Type of incisionsType of operationsNo.%Upper midline and paramedian incisionsTrauma (penetrating & closed injuries)2116.5Liver hydatid cyst97.0Perforated D.U& D.U surgery64.7GB and CBD operations53.9Splenectomy43.0Stomach surgery21.6Colonic surgery21.6Sub-total4938.9Lower midline and paramedian incisionsExplorative laparatomy2922.8Ovarian and uterine surgery1713.4Perforated appendix1411.0Ectopic pregnancy107.9Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-totalSub-total7861.2				
Upper midline and paramedian incisionsTrauma (penetrating & closed injuries)2116.5paramedian incisionsLiver hydatid cyst97.0Perforated D.U& D.U surgery64.7GB and CBD operations53.9Splenectomy43.0Stomach surgery21.6Colonic surgery21.6Sub-total4938.9Lower midline and paramedian incisionsExplorative laparatomy2922.8Perforated appendix1411.0Ectopic pregnancy107.9Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-totalSub-total7861.2	Type of incisions	Type of operations	No.	%
paramedian incisionsLiver hydatid cyst97.0Perforated D.U& D.U surgery64.7GB and CBD operations53.9Splenectomy43.0Stomach surgery21.6Colonic surgery21.6Sub-total4938.9Lower midline and paramedian incisionsExplorative laparatomy2922.8Ovarian and uterine surgery1713.4Perforated appendix1411.0Ectopic pregnancy107.9Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-totalSub-total7861.2	Upper midline and	Trauma (penetrating & closed injuries)	21	16.5
Perforated D.U& D.U surgery64.7GB and CBD operations53.9Splenectomy43.0Stomach surgery21.6Colonic surgery21.6Sub-total4938.9Lower midline and paramedian incisionsExplorative laparatomy2922.8Ovarian and uterine surgery1713.4Perforated appendix1411.0Ectopic pregnancy107.9Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-total561.2	paramedian incisions	Liver hydatid cyst	9	7.0
GB and CBD operations53.9Splenectomy43.0Stomach surgery21.6Colonic surgery21.6Sub-total4938.9Lower midline and paramedian incisionsExplorative laparatomy2922.8Ovarian and uterine surgery1713.4Perforated appendix1411.0Ectopic pregnancy107.9Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-total7861.2		Perforated D.U& D.U surgery	6	4.7
Splenectomy43.0Stomach surgery21.6Colonic surgery21.6Sub-total4938.9Lower midline and paramedian incisionsExplorative laparatomy2922.8Ovarian and uterine surgery1713.4Perforated appendix1411.0Ectopic pregnancy107.9Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-total7861.2		GB and CBD operations	5	3.9
Stomach surgery21.6Colonic surgery21.6Sub-total4938.9Lower midline and paramedian incisionsExplorative laparatomy2922.8Ovarian and uterine surgery1713.4Perforated appendix1411.0Ectopic pregnancy107.9Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-total5861.2		Splenectomy	4	3.0
Colonic surgery21.6Sub-total4938.9Lower midline and paramedian incisionsExplorative laparatomy2922.8Ovarian and uterine surgery1713.4Perforated appendix1411.0Ectopic pregnancy107.9Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-total7861.2		Stomach surgery	2	1.6
Sub-total4938.9Lower midline and paramedian incisionsExplorative laparatomy2922.8Ovarian and uterine surgery1713.4Perforated appendix1411.0Ectopic pregnancy107.9Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-total7861.2		Colonic surgery	2	1.6
Lower midline and paramedian incisionsExplorative laparatomy2922.8Ovarian and uterine surgery1713.4Perforated appendix1411.0Ectopic pregnancy107.9Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-total7861.2		Sub-total	49	38.9
paramedian incisionsOvarian and uterine surgery1713.4Perforated appendix1411.0Ectopic pregnancy107.9Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-total7861.2	Lower midline and	Explorative laparatomy	29	22.8
Perforated appendix1411.0Ectopic pregnancy107.9Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-total7861.2	paramedian incisions	Ovarian and uterine surgery	17	13.4
Ectopic pregnancy107.9Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-total7861.2		Perforated appendix	14	11.0
Cesareans section75.5Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-total7861.2		Ectopic pregnancy	10	7.9
Bladder and prostatic surgery10.8Sub-total7861.2		Cesareans section	7	5.5
Sub-total 78 61.2		Bladder and prostatic surgery	1	0.8
		Sub-total	78	61.2

Overall outcome: Just under three quarters of patients, 70.1% experienced no undesired outcomes after repair. The remaining 29.9% developed some kind of complications as demonstrated in table IV.

ns and mortanty				
Complication	No.	%		
Seroma	13	10.2		
Hematoma	9	7.0		
Wound infection	7	5.5		
Wound sinus	6	4.7		
Recurrence	2	1.6		
Mortality*	1	0.8		

Table IV: Complications and mortality

*One old female patient died in the tenth postoperative day due to pulmonary embolism.

Discussion

Elective incisional hernia repair is one of the most common surgical procedures performed in surgical practice. There is no consensus on the best surgical technique for the non-obstructed incisional hernia. In general the proper repair should cover the whole length of the wound, tension free and prosthetic mesh should be used if the defect was larger than 4 cm^{2,15}. Various methods been described for have surgical

treatment of incisional hernia either by primary fascial repair or placing tension free synthetic mesh at open operation or laparoscopically. Simple approximation is not recommended today because it carries high incidence of recurrence rates up to 25-55%^{16,17}, prosthetic mesh implants is utilized to decrease the incidence of recurrence to 5-15%¹⁶⁻¹⁸, so it became the standard in management of large ventral incisional hernia. Many authors described the use of large retro-rectus mesh repair^{4,19}, this procedure carry low recurrence rate (3%) which is comparable to recurrence rate of our surgical approach, but this technique carries high morbidity due to extensive abdominal wall dissection required to create a bed for the mesh behind the rectus muscle leading to increase post operative pain, significant seroma (20%) and wound infection⁴, while the repair technique we used was simple without extensive tissue damage and carries lower incidence of seroma(10.2%) and wound infection (5.5%). Also the rate of complications in the present study is comparable to the result of other onlay mesh techniques²⁰⁻²². Recurrence rate (1.6%) was much lower than the rates reported by others 23,24 . In large ventral incisional hernia, the

recti muscles loss their midline anchor and its pulled laterally during their tonic contraction. In this study, the function of anterior abdominal wall was restored through the by reconstitution of a new strong linea alba (new midline anchor) and keep the recti muscle in their normal anatomical position in addition to onlay mesh which offer additional support to the surgical repair.

In conclusion, modified shoelace darn repair is simple, safe, extra-peritoneal, less tissue damage. It restores the anatomical position and function of the anterior abdominal wall, with relatively low complications and incidence of recurrence. It seems good surgical technique for management of large ventral incisional hernia.

References

1- Kevin G Burnand, Joan Black, Steven A Corbett, William EG Thomas. Browses introduction to the symptoms and signs of surgical disease, CRC Press Tylor and Francis group fifth edition 2015 p 474-475.

2- Norman S. Williams . Christopher J.K. Bulstrode . P .Ronan Oconnell. Baily and Loves, Short Practice of Surgery ,CRC Press Tylor and Francis group 26th edition 2013.p 964-966

3- F.charles Brunicardi . Dana K Anderson . Timothy R . Billar. David L. Dunn. John G. Hunter. Jeffry B. Matthews. Raphael E. Pollock. Schwarttz, s : principles of surgery tenth edition, ("McGraw-Hill") copy right 2015 p1454.

4-Michael J. Zinner, Stanely W. Ashley. Maingots Abdominal Operations 11th edition 2007 "McGraw-Hill p133.

5- Doherty GM. Current essentials of surgery , ("McGraw-Hill") copy right 2005
6- Andrew Kingsnorth . Ann R Coll Surg Engl. The management of incisional hernia ; 2006 may 88(3) : 252-260

7- Nau P, Clark CJ, Fisher M, Walker G, Needleman BJ, Ellison EC, Muscarella P. Modified rives-stoppa repair for abdominal incisional hernias. Health 2010; 2 (2):162-169

8- Hodgson NC, Malthaner RA, Ostbye T. The search of ideal method abdominal facial closure: a meta analysis. Ann Surg 2000; 231(3): 436-42.

9- Matar Z. Open Surgical Management of Incisional Hernia. The Internet Journal of Surgery 2007; 15 (2): P 1-5.

10- Lorne H. Blackbourne Advanced surgical recall second edition, Lippincott 2004 p 200

11- Wilmore, Cheung, Harken, Holcroft, Meakins and Soper American College of Surgeons (ACS Surgery) principles and practice 2003 WebMD p 880.

12- Cuschieri A, Hanna GB. Essential Surgical Practice, Higher Surgical Training in General Surgery fifth edition, CRC Press Tylor and Francis group, 2015 p494-496

13- Shah Bhavin K, Vipul G. A study of 50 cases of shoelace repair for midline incisional hernia. NJIRM 2015 ; 6 (3): 10-13. 14- Tamilselvan N, Meera R. Novel technique (Woven Darning Method) in the management of large midline incisional hernia. JDMS 2016: 15: 49-53.

15- Farquharson M, Brendan Moran B. Farquharson of operative general surgery, ninth edition,. Edward Arnold copy right 2005 p 212-213.

16- Bellows CF, Smith A, Malsbury J, Helton W.Repair of incisional hernia with biological prosthesis: a systemic revewof currentevidence. AM J Surg. 2013 ;205(1): 85-101

17- Liakakos T, Karanikas I, Panagiotidis H, et al. Use of Marlex mesh in the repair of recurrent incisional hernia . Br J Surg 1994:81(2):248-9.

18-Carol E.H., Scott-cornner Chassins Operative Strategy in general surgery fourth edition Sprnger 2014 p891.

19- Stoppa RE. The treatment of complicated groin and incisional hernia.Word J Surg. 1989 ;13(5):545-554.

20- Joshi MA, Sing MB, Gadhire MA. Study of the outcome of modified shoelace repair for midline incisional hernia. The World Journal of Hernia and Abdominal Wall Surgery ;1 2015; 19 ; 503-508.

21- Lewis RT. Knitted polypropylene (Marlex) mesh in the repair of incisional hernia. Can J surg 1984;27: 155-157 .

22- Matapurkar BG, Gupta AK, Agarwal AK (1991) Anew technique of marlex -peritoneal sandwich in the repair of large incisional hernia . World J Surg 15:768-770

23. Muysoms FE, Detry O, Vierendeels T, Huyghe M, Miserez M, Ruppert M, Tollens T, Defraigne JO, Berrevoet F. Prevention of Incisional Hernias by Prophylactic Mesh-augmented Reinforcement of Midline Laparotomies for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Treatment: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Ann Surg. 2016 Apr;263(4):638-45.

24-Jigar V. Shah, Mahesh M. Puka , Sohank G Mewada, Kuldeep Aggrawal. A comparative study of operative management of incisional hernia. Volume 1, 2014 sep-oct IJBritish .p67-75.